

IN THE ABSTRACT:

Please delete the current abstract in its entirety and replace it with the following abstract:

B/ --Resilient contact structures provide electrical interconnection between a semiconductor die and another electronic component. Multilayered packaging may be formed on the semiconductor die, and the resilient contact structures may be formed on portions of one or more of the layers. Heat dissipating structures may be provided on the die--

IN THE TITLE:

Please delete the current title in its entirety and replace it with the following title:

--Resilient Contact Structures For Interconnecting Electronic Devices--

REMARKS

Applicants have replaced the abstract and the title with a new abstract and a new title. A copy of the new abstract on a separate sheet of paper is attached hereto. Applicants respectfully submit that this overcomes the objection to the abstract and complies with the requirement for a new title.

Applicants have carefully considered the requirement that the entire disclosure be modified and portions cancelled. Applicants, however, respectfully traverse this requirement. First, there appears to be no basis in the patent statutes or rules or the MPEP for requiring cancellation of portions of a disclosure to "confine" the descriptive matter to "the invention to which the pending claims are directed." Indeed, where, as here, a restriction requirement is issued in a parent application, the PTO does not require narrowing of the disclosure in each divisional application. Second, the entire specification relates at least generally to the overall invention, which includes the formation and use of resilient contact structures with electronic devices such as semiconductor devices. For example, Figures 1-12 describe exemplary "resilient

contact structures" or "interconnect structures" as recited in each of the pending claims. Third, Applicants believe that they should be entitled to rely on the entire disclosure should issues arise later in prosecution or a court proceeding following issuance of the patent regarding compliance with the disclosure requirements of the first paragraph of 35 USC § 112 or interpretation of the claims in light of the specification. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the requirement that any part of the disclosure be modified or cancelled.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference with Applicants' attorney would be helpful, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (925) 456-3915.

In separate papers filed herewith, Applicants have requested a two month extension of time, and authorized payment of the fee for the two month extension of time. Although Applicants believe that no additional extension of time or fee is needed for acceptance of this paper, Applicants petition the Commissioner for any additional extension of time deemed necessary for acceptance of this paper, and Applicants authorize the Commissioner to charge any additional fee deemed necessary for acceptance of this paper to Deposit Account No. 50-0285 (order no. P3D5-US).

Date: January 21, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

N. Kenneth Burraston
Registration No. 39,923

FormFactor, Inc.
Legal Department
2140 Research Dr.
Livermore, CA 94550
925-456-3915
925-294-8147 Fax